

TEEN PARENT PROGRAM
FISCAL YEAR 2007
SIX MONTH UPDATE (APRIL 2007- SEPTEMBER 2007)

Data Prepared by
Performance Excellence Administration
Data Analysis and Information Management
Michigan Department of Human Services

February 2008

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
PART I: ENTRANCE INTO THE PROGRAM.....	4
TABLE 1 NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS.....	4
TABLE 2 REFERRAL SOURCE	5
PART II: PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS	6
TABLE 3 RACE/ETHNICITY	6
TABLE 4 GENDER.....	7
TABLE 5 AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS	8
TABLE 6 AGE BY GENDER.....	9
TABLE 7 MARITAL STATUS.....	10
PART III: PREGNANCY AND PARENTING INFORMATION.....	11
TABLE 8 PREGANCY/PARENTING STATUS	11
TABLE 8A PRENATAL CARE.....	11
TABLE 8B OF THOSE PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN.....	12
TABLE 8C OF THOSE PREGNANT & PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN.....	13
PART IV: EDUCATIONAL AND EMPLOYMENT STATUS.....	14
TABLE 9 EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT INTAKE	16
TABLE 10 EMPLOYEMNT STATUS.....	17
PART V: LIVING ARRANGEMENT.....	18
TABLE 11 LIVING ARRANGEMENT.....	19
TABLE 12 AGE BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT	20

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Michigan Department of Human Services' (DHS) on-going evaluation/monitoring of the Teen Parent Program began October 1, 1994. This document represents the second six-month update for FY 06-07 (i.e., April 2007 through September 2007) and is comprised of fifteen tables, highlights of which are presented below.

- During this six-month period, 446 new participants entered the program, with 15.5% of the participants being referred to the program by their local DHS offices.
- The race/ethnicity breakdown was as follows:
 - 61.6% African American
 - 28.1% White
 - 6.1% Hispanic
 - 3.4% Other (e.g., multi-racial)
 - 0.4% Native American
 - 0.4% Asian
- Providers have the option of providing services to teen fathers. A number of sites have exercised this option, with males comprising 7.0% of the recently enrolled participants.
- The average age, at program entry (i.e., intake), of this group of participants was 17.96 years.
- 96.0% of the participants were single.
- 44.8% of the participants were pregnant (or pregnant **and** parenting) upon entering the program, with 95.5% of those participating in prenatal care at that time.
- 61.9% of the teens were parenting (or pregnant **and** parenting), with 86.9% of them parenting one child, 9.8% parenting two children, 2.9% parenting three children, and one individual (0.4%) parenting five children.
- On average, the highest grade completed by the teens was 10.3.
- At the time of entering the program (note, duplicate responses were possible: e.g., a person could be identified as being in GED training/classes and school simultaneously),
 - 50.2% of the participants were enrolled in school.
 - 4.7% of the participants were enrolled in GED training/classes.
 - 2.5% of the participants were GED holders.
 - 16.1% of the participants were high school graduates.
- 13.3% of the participants were employed at the time they entered the program, averaging 23.4 hours of work a week at an average hourly rate of \$6.57.
- 31.2% of the participants were not involved in education **or** employment activities at the time they entered the program.

TEEN PARENT PROGRAM

Fiscal Year 2007

Six Month Update

April 2007 - September 2007

The Michigan Department of Human Services' (DHS) on-going evaluation/monitoring of the Teen Parent Program (TPP) began October 1, 1994. This document represents the second six-month update for FY 06-07. Specifically, the following tables summarize intake information about those individuals who entered the program during the latter six months of fiscal year 2007, namely, April 2007 through September 2007.

The program currently operates via contract with twenty-three sites (23) in twenty (20) counties. The specific counties served by the program are Berrien, Calhoun, Chippewa, Clare, Genesee, Ingham, Jackson, Kalamazoo, Kent, Lake, Macomb, Montcalm, Muskegon, Newaygo, Oakland, Ogemaw, Ottawa, Saginaw, Van Buren, and Wayne, which is home to four (4) sites.

PART I: ENTRANCE INTO THE PROGRAM

Table 1 presents the total number of participants who entered the teen parent program between April 1, 2007, and September 30, 2007. During this six-month period, 446 new participants entered the program.

Table 1
NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS

NUMBER OF PARTICIPANTS	MONTH							FY07 TOTAL ¹	FY06 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Number of Participants Entering the Program During the Month	68	76	78	67	76	81	446	916	1,020

¹ In addition to these new cases, there were 920 active carry-over/ongoing cases that were receiving services at the start of the fiscal year (i.e., cases that opened prior to October 1, 2006, and remained open as of the start of FY06-07). Source: TPP semi-annual monitoring reports, October 2006.

Table 2 identifies the sources responsible for referring the participants to the program. Referrals received from the Department of Human Services (DHS) were to be given top priority. As can be seen, 15.5% (69) of the referrals during this six month period were from DHS. This was surpassed by referrals from some “other” source (see footnote, below, for details regarding “other” referral sources), which accounted for 44.6% (199) of the referrals. Meanwhile, community agencies (68) accounted for 15.2% of the referrals, followed by schools (n=45; 10.1%), health care providers (n=38; 8.5%), and community health (n=25; 5.6%). Mental health accounted for 0.4% (2) of the referrals.

Table 2
REFERRAL SOURCE

REFERRAL SOURCE	MONTH							FY07 TOTAL	FY06 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
DHS	15	8	8	12	15	11	69 (15.5%)	134 (14.6%)	148 (14.5%)
Health Care Provider	4	6	6	7	8	7	38 (8.5%)	95 (10.4%)	85 (8.3%)
Community Health	8	5	1	2	6	3	25 (5.6%)	80 (8.7%)	115 (11.5%)
Community Agency	9	7	12	12	13	15	68 (15.2%)	120 (13.1%)	184 (18.0%)
Mental Health	0	0	1	0	1	0	2 (0.4%)	9 (1.0%)	4 (0.4%)
School	3	24	6	5	0	7	45 (10.1%)	79 (8.6%)	113 (11.1%)
Other ²	29	26	44	29	33	38	199 (44.6%)	399 (43.6%)	371 (36.4%)
TOTALS	68	76	78	67	76	81	446 (100.0%) ³	916 (100.0%)	1,020 (100.0%)

² "Other" responses given included the following: self, friend, relative, partner/girlfriend, another program participant, was a former program participant, TPP agency, court system (e.g., juvenile court, circuit court, probation/parole officer), InterCare, Job Corps, homeless shelter, maternal and infant health program, newspaper, yellow pages, brochure/flyer, 2-1-1 phone line, etc.

³ In this and subsequent tables, total may not equal 100.0% due to rounding error.

PART II: PARTICIPANT DEMOGRAPHICS

Table 3 presents the racial/ethnic breakdown of participants entering the program during these six months. Accordingly, 61.6% (274) of the individuals were African American, 28.1% (125) were white, 6.1% (27) were Hispanic, 0.4% (2) was Native American, and 0.4% (2) was Asian. The “other” responses served to identify fifteen individuals (3.4%) as multi-racial.

Table 3
RACE/ETHNICITY

RACE/ETHNICITY	MONTH							FY07 TOTAL	FY06 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
White	20	18	20	20	20	27	125 (28.1%)	273 (29.9%)	331 (32.5%)
African American	42	48	52	40	45	47	274 (61.6%)	545 (59.7%)	576 (56.5%)
Native American	0	1	0	0	1	0	2 (0.4%)	4 (0.4%)	11 (1.1%)
Hispanic	2	6	4	4	8	3	27 (6.1%)	52 (5.7%)	64 (6.3%)
Asian	0	1	1	0	0	0	2 (0.4%)	2 (0.2%)	1 (0.1%)
Other	4	2	1	3	2	3	15 (3.4%)	37 (4.1%)	37 (3.6%)
TOTALS	68	76	78	67	76	80	445 (100.0%)	913 (100.0%)	1,020 (100.0%)
Missing ⁴	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	0

⁴ Missing, in this and subsequent tables, refers to information that was unavailable at time of reporting.

Providers have the option of providing services to teen fathers. **Table 4** presents the gender breakdown of participants entering the program during these six months. Accordingly, 93.0% (415) of the individuals were female, and 7.0% (31) were male.

Table 4
GENDER

	MONTH							FY07 TOTAL	FY06 TOTAL
GENDER	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Female	64	70	70	60	74	77	415 (93.0%)	847 (92.5%)	978 (95.9%)
Male	4	6	8	7	2	4	31 (7.0%)	69 (7.5%)	42 (4.1%)
TOTALS	68	76	78	67	76	81	446 (100.0%)	916 (100.0%)	1,020 (100.0%)

Table 5 displays the age distribution, at intake, of participants entering the program during these six months, with the overall average age being 17.96 years.

Table 5
AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS

AGE DISTRIBUTION OF PARTICIPANTS (age at intake)	MONTH							FY07 TOTAL	FY06 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Twelve	0	0	0	1	0	0	1 (0.2%)	2 (0.2%)	3 (0.3%)
Thirteen	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 (0.2%)	8 (0.8%)
Fourteen	3	2	1	0	4	1	11 (2.5%)	20 (2.2%)	27 (2.7%)
Fifteen	7	6	6	0	3	5	27 (6.1%)	55 (6.1%)	82 (8.1%)
Sixteen	10	18	20	15	8	11	82 (18.6%)	175 (19.4%)	154 (15.3%)
Seventeen	13	15	24	13	13	24	102 (23.1%)	203 (22.5%)	226 (22.4%)
Eighteen	18	17	7	19	19	19	99 (22.4%)	203 (22.5%)	212 (21.1%)
Nineteen	13	9	14	7	17	16	76 (17.2%)	162 (17.9%)	195 (19.4%)
Twenty	3	8	5	11	12	4	43 (9.8%)	82 (9.1%)	95 (9.4%)
Twenty-one	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5 (0.5%)
TOTALS	67	75	77	66	76	80	441 (100.0%)	904 (100.0%)	1,007 (100.0%)
Missing	1	1	1	1	0	1	5	12	13

Table 6 displays the breakdown of age by gender. The average female participant was 17.92 years old, and the average male participant was 18.51 years old.

Table 6
AGE BY GENDER⁵

AGE BY GENDER	LATTER SIX MONTHS - FISCAL YEAR 07				FY07 % TOTAL (N)	FY06 % Total (N)
	% 16 Years and Under	% 17 Years	% 18 Years and Over	Totals (N)		
Female	93.4	96.1	91.3	93.0 (410)	92.7 (838)	96.1 (968)
Male	6.6	3.9	8.7	7.0 (31)	7.3 (66)	3.9 (39)
TOTALS (N)	100.0 (121)	100.0 (102)	100.0 (218)	100.0 (441)	100.0 (904)	100.0 (1,007)

⁵ For the latter six months of FY07, there were five cases for which information about age was missing, bringing the year-to-date total of cases missing information about age to twelve. Meanwhile, for FY06, there were thirteen cases for which information about age was missing.

Table 7 displays the marital status of the participants. Accordingly, 96.0% (427) were single and 3.4% (15) were married. Of the fifteen individuals who were married, eight were white, four were African American, one was Hispanic, one was Asian, and one was multi-racial (“other”). In terms of age, two were sixteen years old or younger, three were seventeen years old, and ten were eighteen years old or older. With respect to gender, fourteen of the married participants were female and one was male. Note: for one individual whose marital status was described as “other” it was explained that they were separated from their spouse. No further explanations were provided for the remaining two “others”.

Table 7
MARITAL STATUS

MARITAL STATUS	MONTH							FY07 TOTAL	FY06 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Single	67	75	72	63	72	78	427 (96.0%)	879 (96.3%)	993 (97.4%)
Married	1	1	4	4	3	2	15 (3.4%)	28 (3.1%)	25 (2.5%)
Other	0	0	2	0	1	0	3 (0.7%)	6 (0.7%)	2 (0.2%)
TOTALS	68	76	78	67	76	80	445 (100.0%)	913 (100.0%)	1,020 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	0

PART III: PREGNANCY AND PARENTING INFORMATION

Table 8 reveals the number of participants who were pregnant, parenting, or pregnant and parenting at time of intake. Accordingly, 38.1% (170) were pregnant, 55.2% (246) were parenting, and 6.7% (30) were pregnant and parenting upon entering the program.

Table 8
PREGNANCY/PARENTING STATUS

PREGNANCY/PARENTING STATUS AT TIME OF INTAKE	MONTH							FY07 TOTAL	FY06 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Pregnant	28	23	39	25	28	27	170 (38.1%)	366 (40.0%)	442 (43.3%)
Parenting	32	48	36	39	45	46	246 (55.2%)	483 (52.8%)	490 (48.0%)
Pregnant and Parenting	8	5	3	3	3	8	30 (6.7%)	66 (7.2%)	88 (8.6%)
TOTALS	68	76	78	67	76	81	446 (100.0%)	915 (100.0%)	1,020 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0

Meanwhile, of those pregnant upon entering the program, 95.5% were receiving prenatal care at that time, as shown in **Table 8A** below:

Table 8A
PRENATAL CARE

IF PARTICIPANT WAS PREGNANT AT TIME OF INTAKE, WAS SHE RECEIVING PRENATAL CARE?	MONTH							FY07 TOTAL	FY06 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Yes	34	26	38	28	31	34	191 (95.5%)	403 (93.3%)	509 (96.0%)
No	2	2	4	0	0	1	9 (4.5%)	29 (6.7%)	21 (4.0%)
TOTALS	36	28	42	28	31	35	200 (100.0%)	432 (100.0%)	530 (100.0%)

In addition, the status of those parenting (or pregnant and parenting) may be further described in terms of the number of children they had at time of intake. These data are displayed in tables 8B and 8C. With respect to ages of the children, 81.4% (262) were one year or younger, 9.9% (32) were two years old, 6.9% (22) were three years old, 1.6% (5) was four years old, and 0.3% (1) was five years old or older.

According to **Table 8B**, 86.9% (213) of those parenting had one child, 9.4% (23) had two children, 3.3% (8) had three children, and 0.4% (1) had five children.

Table 8B
OF THOSE PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN

OF THOSE PARENTING AT TIME OF INTAKE, NUMBER OF CHILDREN:	MONTH							FY07 TOTAL	FY06 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
One	28	45	31	33	37	39	213 (86.9%)	417 (86.9%)	424 (86.9%)
Two	3	3	4	3	5	5	23 (9.4%)	47 (9.8%)	56 (11.5%)
Three	1	0	1	2	3	1	8 (3.3%)	14 (2.9%)	7 (1.4%)
Five	0	0	0	0	0	1	1 (0.4%)	2 (0.4%)	1 (0.2%)
TOTALS	32	48	36	38	45	46	245 (100.0%)	480 (100.0%)	488 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	3	2

Similarly, **Table 8C** reveals that 86.7% (26) of the individuals who were pregnant and parenting had one child, and 13.3% (4) had two children.

Table 8C
OF THOSE PREGNANT AND PARENTING, NUMBER OF CHILDREN

IF PARTICIPANT WAS PREGNANT & PARENTING AT TIME OF INTAKE, NUMBER OF CHILDREN:	MONTH							FY07 TOTAL	FY06 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
One	6	4	3	3	3	7	26 (86.7%)	58 (87.9%)	70 (79.5%)
Two	2	1	0	0	0	1	4 (13.3%)	8 (12.1%)	17 (19.3%)
Three	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 (1.1%)
TOTALS	8	5	3	3	3	8	30 (100.0%)	66 (100.0%)	88 (100.0%)

PART IV: EDUCATIONAL AND EMPLOYMENT STATUS

Tables 9 and 10 reveal the participants' educational and employment status at time of intake. Note that, on average, the highest grade completed by the participants upon entering the program was 10.3.

A. School

The 224 individuals (50.2%) enrolled in school may further be described in the following manner:

- Sixteen individuals were enrolled in both school and GED training/classes.
- Sixteen individuals had a high school diploma.
- Twenty-nine teens were working and going to school.
- On average, the highest grade completed by this group of individuals was 10.10.
- In terms of age, this group of individuals averaged 17.35 years, with 40.3% being sixteen years old or younger, 26.2% being seventeen years old, and 33.5% being eighteen years old or older.
- In terms of gender, 92.9% (208) of those enrolled were females, representing 50.1% of females in the program. Meanwhile, 7.1% (16) of those enrolled were males, representing 51.6% of males in the program.

The 222 individuals (49.8%) who were not enrolled in school may further be described in the following manner:

- Fifty-six teens had a high school diploma.
- Eleven participants had a GED certificate.
- Five individuals were in GED training/classes.
- Thirty teens were employed.
- On average, the highest grade completed by this group of individuals was 10.4.
- In terms of age, this group of individuals averaged 18.57 years, with 14.5% being sixteen years old or younger, 20.0% being seventeen years old, and 65.5% being eighteen years old or older.
- In terms of gender, 93.2% (207) of those not enrolled were females, representing 49.9% of females in the program. Meanwhile, 6.8% (15) of those not enrolled were males, representing 48.4% of males in the program.

B. GED Training/Classes

Of the twenty-one individuals (4.7%) in GED training/classes, sixteen were also in school and two were working. In terms of age, 19.0% were sixteen years old, 28.6% were seventeen years old, and 52.4% were eighteen years old or older.

C. GED Certificate

Eleven individuals (2.5%) were identified as having a GED certificate, one of who was working.

D. High School Diploma

The seventy-two individuals (16.1%) who had a high school diploma may further be described in the following manner:

- Sixteen teens were continuing their education.
- Twenty teens were working.

The 374 individuals (83.9%) who did not have a high school diploma may further be described in the following manner:

- 208 teens were enrolled in school.
- Twenty-one teens were in GED training/classes (including sixteen who were also identified as being enrolled in school).
- Eleven teens, while lacking a diploma, did have a GED certificate.
- Thirty-nine individuals, who lacked a high school diploma, were working at the time they entered the program.

For 139 individuals, or 31.2% of those who entered the program during these six months, negative responses were received for each question regarding education **and** employment. In other words, they were neither enrolled in school nor GED training/classes, lacked a GED certificate or high school diploma, and were not employed at the time they entered the program. In terms of age, 21.9% of these individuals were sixteen years old or younger, 25.5% were seventeen years old, and 52.6% were eighteen years old or older.

Table 9
EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT INTAKE

PARTICIPANT'S EDUCATIONAL STATUS AT TIME OF INTAKE	MONTH							FY07 TOTAL	FY06 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
A. Was the participant in school at intake?									
Yes	35	42	38	26	33	50	224 (50.2%)	450 (49.2%)	513 (50.3%)
No	33	34	40	41	43	31	222 (49.8%)	464 (50.8%)	507 (49.7%)
TOTALS (Missing)	68	76	78	67	76	81	446 (100.0%)	914 (2) (100.0%)	1,020 (100.0%)
B. Was the participant in GED training/classes?	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS	07 TOTAL	06 Total
Yes	4	1	3	2	3	8	21 (4.7%)	52 (5.7%)	67 (6.6%)
No	64	75	75	65	73	73	425 (95.3%)	862 (94.3%)	953 (93.4%)
TOTALS (Missing)	68	76	78	67	76	81	446 (100.0%)	914 (2) (100.0%)	1,020 (100.0%)
C. Did the participant have a GED?	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS	07 TOTAL	06 Total
Yes	3	0	2	1	5	0	11 (2.5%)	23 (2.5%)	21 (2.1%)
No	65	76	76	66	71	81	435 (97.5%)	890 (97.5%)	999 (97.9%)
TOTALS (Missing)	68	76	78	67	76	81	446 (100.0%)	913 (3) (100.0%)	1,020 (100.0%)
D. Did the participant have a hs diploma?	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS	07 TOTAL	06 Total
Yes	3	13	13	11	18	14	72 (16.1%)	129 (14.1%)	169 (16.6%)
No	65	63	65	56	58	67	374 (83.9%)	784 (85.9%)	851 (83.4%)
TOTALS (Missing)	68	75	78	67	76	81	446 (100.0%)	913 (3) (100.0%)	1,020 (100.0%)

Table 10 indicates the number of participants who were employed at time of intake. Accordingly, 13.3% (59) had a job upon entering the teen parent program, whereas 86.7% (385) of the individuals were unemployed.

Table 10
EMPLOYMENT STATUS

WAS THE PARTICIPANT WORKING AT TIME OF INTAKE?	MONTH							FY07 TOTAL	FY06 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
Yes	4	12	11	11	9	12	59 (13.3%)	108 (11.9%)	127 (12.5%)
No	64	64	67	54	67	69	385 (86.7%)	803 (88.1%)	891 (87.5%)
TOTALS	68	76	78	65	76	81	444 (100.0%)	911 (100.0%)	1,018 (100.0%)
Missing	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	5	2

For the fifty-nine teens employed at time of entry into the program, the average weekly hours worked was 23.4 and the average hourly wage was \$6.57. In addition, the average age of those employed was 18.48 years. Furthermore,

- Fifty-four (91.5%) of those employed were females, representing 13.0% of the females entering the program during this six month period. Meanwhile, five (8.5%) of those employed were male, representing 16.1% of the males entering the program.
- Twenty individuals had a high school diploma (two of who were also continuing their education).
- One had a GED certificate.
- Two were in GED training (both of whom were also in school).
- Twenty-nine individuals were enrolled in school (two of who had a diploma and two of who were also in GED training).
- Eleven teens were working, but were not in school or GED training/classes, nor did they have a diploma or GED.

The 385 individuals who were not working at time of program entry may further be described in the following manner:

- Of the teens not working, 193 were enrolled in school (including fourteen who were also in GED training/classes, and fourteen who had a high school diploma).
- Nineteen teens were in GED training/classes (fourteen of who were also identified as being enrolled in school).
- Fifty-two individuals had a high school diploma (fourteen of who were also continuing their education).
- Ten teens had a GED certificate.

PART V: LIVING ARRANGEMENT

Table 11, on the following page, presents the participants' living arrangements upon entering the program. As indicated, 55.3% of the individuals, who entered the program during these six months, resided with their parent(s). This was followed by 11.2% living with other relative(s), and 8.3% living independently. The remaining 25.2% was scattered throughout the remaining available responses.

Table 12, on page 20, presents a breakdown of living arrangements in terms of age. For example, 79.3% of those teens aged sixteen years or younger were residing with their parent(s) upon entering the program. Meanwhile, 57.8% of those aged seventeen and 40.8% of those aged eighteen or older were living with their parents at intake.

- All totaled, 92.6% of those teens aged sixteen or younger resided with a parent, legal guardian, other relative, or in formal placement. Similarly, 76.5% of those aged seventeen resided with a parent, legal guardian, other relative, spouse, or in formal placement.
- In Table 11 and Table 12, "other" responses given included the following: living with friend(s), living with partner in friend's home, client is living with partner in client's relative's home, temporarily with previous placement person, godmother, with spouse in his parent's home, living in transitional living program, and Lighthouse Path Program, etc.

Table 11
LIVING ARRANGEMENT

WHAT WAS THE PARTICIPANT'S LIVING ARRANGEMENT AT TIME OF INTAKE?	MONTH							FY07 TOTAL	FY06 TOTAL
	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	TOTALS		
w/Parents	46	46	39	32	38	45	246 (55.3%)	478 (52.3%)	527 (51.7%)
w/Guardian	2	0	3	2	0	2	9 (2.0%)	26 (2.8%)	36 (3.5%)
w/Other relative	6	12	8	6	10	8	50 (11.2%)	110 (12.0%)	108 (10.6%)
w/Partner	1	5	3	5	3	5	22 (4.9%)	50 (5.5%)	68 (6.7%)
w/Spouse	1	0	3	1	1	2	8 (1.8%)	14 (1.5%)	9 (0.9%)
Formal placement	1	0	0	1	0	2	4 (0.9%)	15 (1.6%)	18 (1.8%)
Independently	4	5	6	7	11	4	37 (8.3%)	75 (8.2%)	99 (9.7%)
Homeless	2	1	3	5	4	5	20 (4.5%)	41 (4.5%)	37 (3.6%)
w/Partner (in partner's family's home)	2	4	9	6	8	4	33 (7.4%)	59 (6.5%)	61 (6.0%)
Other	3	2	4	2	1	4	16 (3.6%)	46 (5.0%)	56 (5.6%)
TOTALS	68	75	78	67	76	81	445 (100.0%)	914 (100.0%)	1,019 (100.0%)
Missing	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	1

Table 12
AGE BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT⁶

AGE BY LIVING ARRANGEMENT	APRIL 2007 – SEPTEMBER 2007				FY07 TOTAL % (N)	FY06 TOTAL % (N)
	% 16 Years and Under	% 17 Years	% 18 Years and Over	Total % (N)		
w/Parents	79.3	57.8	40.8	55.3 (244)	52.2 (471)	51.9 (522)
w/Guardian	2.5	4.9	0.5	2.0 (9)	2.9 (26)	3.5 (35)
w/Other relative	9.1	9.8	13.3	11.3 (50)	12.2 (110)	10.6 (107)
w/Partner	2.5	2.9	7.3	5.0 (22)	5.4 (49)	6.6 (66)
w/Spouse	0.0	2.9	2.3	1.8 (8)	1.6 (14)	0.9 (9)
Formal placement	1.7	1.0	0.5	0.9 (4)	1.7 (15)	1.7 (17)
Independently	0.0	2.9	15.1	8.2 (36)	8.2 (74)	9.7 (98)
Homeless	0.0	4.9	6.9	4.5 (20)	4.5 (41)	3.7 (37)
w/Partner (in partner's family's home)	2.5	7.8	9.6	7.3 (32)	6.3 (57)	6.0 (60)
Other	2.5	4.9	3.7	3.6 (16)	5.1 (46)	5.5 (55)
TOTALS (N)	100.0 (121)	100.0 (102)	100.0 (218)	100.0 (441)	100.0 (903)	100.0 (1,006)

⁶ For the latter six months of FY07, there were five individuals for whom age and/or living arrangement were unknown, bringing the year-to-date total of cases missing age and/or living arrangement to thirteen. NOTE: For FY06, there were fourteen individuals for whom age and/or living arrangement were unknown.